

# Dynamical system and Semi-Hereditarily hypercyclic property

K. Jahedi \* †

---

## Abstract

In this paper we give conditions for a tuple of commutative bounded linear operators which holds in the property of the Hypercyclicity Criterion. We characterize topological transitivity and semi-hereditarily hypercyclicity of a dynamical system given by an  $n$ -tuple of operators acting on a separable infinite dimensional Banach space  $X$ .

*Keywords* : Tuple; hypercyclic vector; Hypercyclicity Criterion; hereditarily hypercyclicity; dynamical systems; periodic point.

---

## 1 Introduction

Topological transitivity was first introduced by G. D. Birkhoff in 1920, for the study of flows and characterized a dynamical system. See [3, 4, 8] for more information.

Dynamical systems have different behavior, for example, some of them have dense periodic points and some others may be minimal and so without any periodic point. We will consider a dynamical system  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  given by an  $n$ -tuple  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  acting on an infinite dimensional Banach space  $X$ . We give some conditions on  $X$  (or  $\mathcal{T}$ ) to be hold in semi-hereditarily hypercyclic and topological transitive properties.

The symbol  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  can be used in real physical system, where a state is never given or it measured with a certain error. Two current definitions of topological transitivity on an elementary dynamical system  $(X, f)$ , where  $X$  is a metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is continuous as follows:

a) For every pair of nonempty open subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$ , there is a positive integer  $n$  such that

$$f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset.$$

b) There is a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that the orbit of  $x_0$ ,  $(\{x_0, f(x_0), \dots, f^n(x_0), \dots\})$ , is dense in  $X$ .

The above definitions do not imply the others. For example, take  $X = \{0\} \cup \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  with standard metric and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(1/n) = 1/(n+1)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Clearly the point  $x_0 = 1$  is the only point which its orbits is dense in  $X$ , but by taking  $U = \{1/2\}$  and  $V = \{1\}$ , one can see that there is no positive integer  $n$  such that  $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . So (b) does not imply (a). Let  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $g(x) = 1 - |2x - 1|$  be the self map on  $I$ ,  $X$  be the set of all periodic points of  $g$  and  $f = g|_X$ . Then the system  $(X, f)$  does not satisfy the definition (b) but it holds in (a). This follows from the fact that for any nondegenerate subinterval  $J$  of  $I$  there is a positive integer  $k$  with  $g^k(J) = I$ . Hence, whenever  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are nonempty open subintervals of  $I$ , there is a periodic orbit of  $g$  which intersects both  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ . This gives (a) for  $(X, f)$ .

Under some conditions on space  $X$  (or on the map  $f$ ), the two definitions are equivalent.

**Theorem 1.1** ([10]) *If  $X$  has no isolated point then (b) implies (a). If  $X$  is separable and second*

---

\*Corresponding author. [mjahedi80@yahoo.com](mailto:mjahedi80@yahoo.com)

†Department of Mathematics, Shiraz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz, Iran.

category then (a) implies (b).

By an  $n$ -tuple of operators we mean a finite sequence of length  $n$  of commuting continuous linear operators on a Banach space  $X$ .

**Definition 1.1** Let  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of operators acting on an infinite dimensional Banach space  $X$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{T_1^{k_1} T_2^{k_2} \dots T_n^{k_n} : k_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+, i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

be the semigroup generated by  $\mathcal{T}$ . For  $x \in X$ , the orbit of  $x$  under the tuple  $\mathcal{T}$  is the set  $\text{Orb}(\mathcal{T}, x) = \{Sx : S \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . A vector  $x$  is called a hypercyclic vector for  $\mathcal{T}$  if  $\text{Orb}(\mathcal{T}, x)$  is dense in  $X$  and in this case the tuple  $\mathcal{T}$  is called hypercyclic.

**Definition 1.2** By  $\mathcal{T}_d^{(k)}$  we will refer to the set of all  $k$  copies of an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{T}_d^{(k)} = \{S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_k : S_1 = \dots = S_k \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

We say that  $\mathcal{T}_d^{(k)}$  is hypercyclic provided there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$  such that

$$\{W(x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_k) : W \in \mathcal{T}_d^{(k)}\}$$

is dense in the  $k$  copies of  $X$ ,  $X \oplus \dots \oplus X$ .

Note that if  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n$  are commutative bounded linear operators on a Banach space  $X$ , and  $\{m_j(i)\}_j$ , is a sequence of natural numbers for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then we say

$$\{T_1^{m_j(1)} T_2^{m_j(2)} \dots T_n^{m_j(n)} : j \geq 0\}$$

is hypercyclic if there exists  $x \in X$  such that

$$\{T_1^{m_j(1)} T_2^{m_j(2)} \dots T_n^{m_j(n)} x : j \geq 0\}$$

is dense in  $X$ .

We say that a tuple  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  is topologically transitive with respect to a tuple of nonnegative integer sequences

$$(\{k_{j(1)}\}_j, \{k_{j(2)}\}_j, \dots, \{k_{j(n)}\}_j),$$

if for every nonempty open subsets  $U, V$  of  $X$  there exists  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T_1^{k_{j_0(1)}} T_2^{k_{j_0(2)}} \dots T_n^{k_{j_0(n)}}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Also, we say that an  $n$ -tuple  $\mathcal{T}$  is topologically transitive if it is topologically transitive with respect an  $n$ -tuple of nonnegative integer sequences.

**Definition 1.3** A tuple  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  is called topologically mixing if for any given open sets  $U$  and  $V$ , there exist positive integers  $M(1), \dots, M(n)$  such that

$$T_1^{m(1)} \dots T_n^{m(n)}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset, \\ \forall m(i) \geq M(i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

**Definition 1.4** We say that a tuple  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  is hereditarily hypercyclic with respect to a tuple of nonnegative increasing sequences

$$(\{k_{j(1)}\}_j, \{k_{j(2)}\}_j, \dots, \{k_{j(n)}\}_j)$$

of integers provided for all tuples of subsequences

$$(\{k_{j_i(1)}\}_i, \{k_{j_i(2)}\}_i, \dots, \{k_{j_i(n)}\}_i)$$

of  $(\{k_{j(1)}\}_j, \{k_{j(2)}\}_j, \dots, \{k_{j(n)}\}_j)$ , the sequence

$$\{T_1^{k_{j_i(1)}} T_2^{k_{j_i(2)}} \dots T_n^{k_{j_i(n)}} : i \geq 1\}$$

is hypercyclic. We say that an  $n$ -tuple  $\mathcal{T}$  is hereditarily hypercyclic, if it is hereditarily hypercyclic with respect to an  $n$ -tuple of nonnegative increasing sequences of integers.

**Definition 1.5** We say that a tuple  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  is semi-hereditarily hypercyclic with respect to a tuple of nonnegative increasing sequences

$$(\{k_{j(1)}\}_j, \{k_{j(2)}\}_j, \dots, \{k_{j(n)}\}_j)$$

of integers provided for all tuples of subsequences

$$(\{k_{j_{i_1}(1)}\}_{i_1}, \{k_{j_{i_2}(2)}\}_{i_2}, \dots, \{k_{j_{i_n}(n)}\}_{i_n})$$

of  $(\{k_{j(1)}\}_j, \{k_{j(2)}\}_j, \dots, \{k_{j(n)}\}_j)$ , the sequence

$$\{T_1^{k_{j_{i_1}(1)}} T_2^{k_{j_{i_2}(2)}} \dots T_n^{k_{j_{i_n}(n)}} : i_j \geq 1, j = 1, \dots, n\}$$

is hypercyclic. We say that a pair  $\mathcal{T}$  is semi-hereditarily hypercyclic, if it is semi-hereditarily hypercyclic with respect to a pair of nonnegative increasing sequences.

Clearly, if a tuple  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  is hereditarily hypercyclic, then it is semi-hereditarily hypercyclic.

**Definition 1.6** An strictly increasing sequence of positive integers  $\{n_k\}$  is said to be syndetic if  $\sup_n \{n_{k+1} - n_k\} < \infty$ .

The formulation of the Hypercyclicity Criterion in the next section was given by N. S. Feldman [6] for the case of  $n = 2$ . Here, we want to extend some properties of hypercyclic operators to a tuple of commuting operators. For some other topics we refer to [1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19].

## 2 Main Results

In this Section we characterize the equivalent conditions for a tuple of operators, satisfying the Hypercyclicity Criterion.

**Theorem 2.1** (*The Hypercyclicity Criterion*) *Suppose that  $X$  is a separable infinite dimensional Banach space and  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  be the  $n$ -tuple of operators  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n$  acting on  $X$ . If there exist two dense subsets  $Y$  and  $Z$  in  $X$ , and strictly increasing sequences  $\{m_j(i)\}_j$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  such that :*

1.  $T_1^{m_j(1)} \dots T_n^{m_j(n)} y \rightarrow 0$  for all  $y \in Y$ ,
2. *There exist a sequence of functions  $\{S_j : Z \rightarrow X\}$  such that for every  $z \in Z$ ,  $S_j z \rightarrow 0$ , and  $T_1^{m_j(1)} \dots T_n^{m_j(n)} S_j z \rightarrow z$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{T}$  is a hypercyclic tuple.*

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a tuple of operators  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n\}$  on a separable infinite dimensional Banach space  $X$ . Also, let  $T_i^*$  has no eigenvalues for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then the followings are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{T}_d^{(2)}$  is hypercyclic.
- (ii) *for every nonempty open subsets  $U, V$  of  $X$  and every neighborhood  $W$  of 0, there exist integers  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  such that*

$$T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} \dots T_n^{m_n}(U) \cap W \neq \emptyset$$

and

$$T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} \dots T_n^{m_n}(W) \cap V \neq \emptyset.$$

**Proof.** (i) implies (ii): Let  $(U, V)$  be a pair of nonempty open subsets of  $X$  and  $W$  be a neighborhood of 0. Put  $U_1 = U, V_1 = U_2 = W$  and  $V_2 = V$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_d^{(2)}$  is hypercyclic, there exists a tuple of nonnegative integers  $(m_1, \dots, m_n)$  such that

$$T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} \dots T_n^{m_n}(U_1) \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset$$

and

$$T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} \dots T_n^{m_n}(U_2) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset.$$

This proves (ii).

(ii) implies (i): In order to show that  $\mathcal{T}_d^{(2)}$  is hypercyclic, we will show that for every nonempty open subsets  $U, V$  of  $X$ , there exists a tuple of integers  $(m_1, \dots, m_n)$  such that

$$T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} \dots T_n^{m_n}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$$

and

$$T_1^{m_1+1} T_2^{m_2+1} \dots T_n^{m_n+1}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset.$$

For this let  $(U, V)$  be any pair of nonempty open subsets of  $X$ . Also, let  $W$  be any neighborhood of 0. In assertion (ii) of the theorem substitute  $W$  by  $W \cap T_1^{-1} T_2^{-1} \dots T_n^{-1}(W)$ . Then there exists a tuple of integers  $(m_1, \dots, m_n)$  such that

$$T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} \dots T_n^{m_n}(U) \cap (W \cap T_1^{-1} T_2^{-1} \dots T_n^{-1}(W)) \neq \emptyset$$

and

$$T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} \dots T_n^{m_n}(W \cap T_1^{-1} T_2^{-1} \dots T_n^{-1}(W)) \cap V \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence

$$T_1^{j_1} T_2^{j_2} \dots T_n^{j_n}(U) \cap W \neq \emptyset$$

and

$$T_1^{j_1} T_2^{j_2} \dots T_n^{j_n}(W) \cap V \neq \emptyset$$

for  $m_i \leq m_i + 1, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Now, let  $u, v$  be arbitrary elements in  $U$  and  $V$ , respectively. Choose  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $B(u, \frac{1}{k_0}) \subset U$  and  $B(v, \frac{1}{k_0}) \subset V$ . For  $k \geq k_0$  put  $U_k = B(u, \frac{1}{k}), V_k = B(v, \frac{1}{k})$  and  $W_k = B(0, \frac{1}{k})$ . Then there exists a tuple of positive integers  $(m_k(1), m_k(2), \dots, m_k(n))$  such that

$$T_1^{j_1} T_2^{j_2} \dots T_n^{j_n}(U_k) \cap W_k \neq \emptyset$$

and

$$T_1^i T_2^j(W_k) \cap V_k \neq \emptyset$$

for  $m_k(i) \leq m_k(i) + 1, i = 1, \dots, n$ . So there exist  $u_k, u'_k \in U_k, v_k, v'_k \in V_k$  and  $w_k, w'_k \in W_k$  such that

$$u_k, u'_k \rightarrow u, w_k, w'_k \rightarrow 0,$$

$$T_1^{m_k(1)} T_2^{m_k(2)} \dots T_n^{m_k(n)} u_k \rightarrow 0,$$

$$T_1^{m_k(1)+1} T_2^{m_k(2)+1} \dots T_n^{m_k(n)+1} w_k \rightarrow v,$$

$$T_1^{m_k(1)+1} T_2^{m_k(2)+1} \dots T_n^{m_k(n)+1} u'_k \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$T_1^{m_k(1)+1} T_2^{m_k(2)+1} \dots T_n^{m_k(n)+1} w'_k \rightarrow v.$$

Hence,  $u_k + w_k \rightarrow u$  and so

$$T_1^{m_k(1)} T_2^{m_k(2)} \dots T_n^{m_k(n)} (u_k + v_k) \rightarrow v.$$

Also,  $u'_k + w'_k \rightarrow u$  and so

$$T_1^{m_k(1)+1} T_2^{m_k(2)+1} \dots T_n^{m_k(n)+1} (u'_k + v'_k) \rightarrow v.$$

Thus the sets

$$T_1^{m_k(1)} T_2^{m_k(2)} \dots T_n^{m_k(n)} (U) \cap V$$

and

$$T_1^{m_k(1)+1} T_2^{m_k(2)+1} \dots T_n^{m_k(n)+1} (U) \cap V$$

are both nonempty for  $k$  large enough and so the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a tuple of operators  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n$  on a separable infinite dimensional Banach space  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  is semi-hereditarily hypercyclic with respect to a tuple of increasing sequences of nonnegative integers*

$$(\{m_{k(1)}^{(1)}\}_k, \{m_{k(2)}^{(2)}\}_k, \dots, \{m_{k(n)}^{(n)}\}_k)$$

*if and only if for all given any two open sets  $U, V$ , there exists a pair of positive integers  $(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n)$  such that*

$$T_1^{m_{k(1)}^{(1)}} T_2^{m_{k(2)}^{(2)}} \dots T_n^{m_{k(n)}^{(n)}} (U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$$

*for any  $k(i) > M_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  be semi-hereditarily hypercyclic with respect to a tuple of increasing sequences of nonnegative integers

$$(\{m_{k(1)}^{(1)}\}_k, \{m_{k(2)}^{(2)}\}_k, \dots, \{m_{k(n)}^{(n)}\}_k).$$

Suppose that there exist some open sets  $U, V$  such that for all positive integers  $i_1, \dots, i_n$ ,

$$T_1^{m_{k_{i_1}(1)}^{(1)}} T_2^{m_{k_{i_2}(2)}^{(2)}} \dots T_n^{m_{k_{i_n}(n)}^{(n)}} (U) \cap V = \emptyset$$

for some tuple of subsequences

$$(\{m_{k_{i_1}(1)}^{(1)}\}_{i_1}, \{m_{k_{i_2}(2)}^{(2)}\}_{i_2}, \dots, \{m_{k_{i_n}(n)}^{(n)}\}_{i_n})$$

of

$$(\{m_{k(1)}^{(1)}\}_k, \{m_{k(2)}^{(2)}\}_k, \dots, \{m_{k(n)}^{(n)}\}_k).$$

Since  $\mathcal{T}$  is semi-hereditarily hypercyclic with respect to

$$(\{m_{k(1)}^{(1)}\}_k, \{m_{k(2)}^{(2)}\}_k, \dots, \{m_{k(n)}^{(n)}\}_k),$$

thus

$$\{T_1^{m_{k_{i_1}(1)}^{(1)}} T_2^{m_{k_{i_2}(2)}^{(2)}} \dots T_n^{m_{k_{i_n}(n)}^{(n)}} : i_j \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, n\}$$

is hypercyclic and so we get a contradiction. Conversely, suppose that

$$(\{m_{k_{i_1}(1)}^{(1)}\}_{i_1}, \{m_{k_{i_2}(2)}^{(2)}\}_{i_2}, \dots, \{m_{k_{i_n}(n)}^{(n)}\}_{i_n})$$

be an arbitrary subsequence of

$$(\{m_{k(1)}^{(1)}\}_k, \{m_{k(2)}^{(2)}\}_k, \dots, \{m_{k(n)}^{(n)}\}_k).$$

Let  $U, V$  be open sets in  $X$ , so there exists positive integers  $M_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  such that

$$T_1^{m_{k(1)}^{(1)}} T_2^{m_{k(2)}^{(2)}} \dots T_n^{m_{k(n)}^{(n)}} (U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$$

for any  $k(i) > M_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This implies clearly that

$$T_1^{m_{k_{i_1}(1)}^{(1)}} T_2^{m_{k_{i_2}(2)}^{(2)}} \dots T_n^{m_{k_{i_n}(n)}^{(n)}} (U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$$

for any  $i_j > M_j$  and all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Now the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a tuple of operators  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n$  on a separable infinite dimensional Banach space  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  is semi-hereditarily hypercyclic with respect to a tuple of increasing syndetic sequences of nonnegative integers if and only if  $\mathcal{T}$  is topologically mixing.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  is semi-hereditarily hypercyclic with respect to a tuple of increasing syndetic sequences of nonnegative integers

$$(\{m_{k(1)}^{(1)}\}_k, \{m_{k(2)}^{(2)}\}_k, \dots, \{m_{k(n)}^{(n)}\}_k).$$

Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two nonempty open sets in  $X$ . We will show that there exist integers  $M_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  such that

$$T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} \dots T_n^{m_n} (U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$$

for any  $m_i > M_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Put

$$M_i = \sup\{m_{k(i)+1}^{(i)} - m_{k(i)}^{(i)} : k(i) \geq 0\}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . For all  $i_j = 0, \dots, M_j$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , define  $U_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} = U$  and

$$V_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} = T_1^{-i_1} T_2^{-i_2} \dots T_n^{-i_n} (V).$$

Thus there exist integers  $M_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^{(j)}$  such that for any  $k(j) > M_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$T_1^{m_{k(1)}^{(1)}} T_2^{m_{k(2)}^{(2)}} \dots T_n^{m_{k(n)}^{(n)}} (U_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}) \cap V_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \neq \emptyset$$

that is also holds for any  $k(j) > m_{M_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^{(j)}}^{(j)}$ . Put

$$M_o(j) = \max\{m_{M_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^{(j)}}^{(j)}$$

$$: i_k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, M_k, k = 1, \dots, n\},$$

and let  $M_o(j) = m_{k_0(j)}^{(j)}$ .

Now if  $m_j > m_{k_0(j)}^{(j)}$ , there exist  $k(j) > k_0(j)$  and  $0 \leq i_j \leq M_j$  such that  $m_j = m_{k(j)}^{(j)} + i_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} & T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} \dots T_n^{m_n} (U) \cap V \\ &= T_1^{m_{k(1)}^{(1)} + i_1} T_2^{m_{k(2)}^{(2)} + i_2} \dots T_n^{m_{k(n)}^{(n)} + i_n} (U_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}) \cap V \\ &= T_1^{m_{k(1)}^{(1)}} T_2^{m_{k(2)}^{(2)}} \dots T_n^{m_{k(n)}^{(n)}} (U_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}) \cap T_1^{-i_1} T_2^{-i_2} \\ & \quad \dots T_n^{-i_n} (V) \\ &= T_1^{m_{k(1)}^{(1)}} T_2^{m_{k(2)}^{(2)}} \dots T_n^{m_{k(n)}^{(n)}} (U_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}) \cap V_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \\ & \quad \neq \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely if  $\mathcal{T}$  is topologically mixing, then by Proposition 2.1,  $\mathcal{T}$  is semi-hereditarily hypercyclic with respect to the pair of entire sequences and so the proof is complete.  $\square$

## References

[1] F. Bayart and E. Matheron, *Dynamics of linear operators*, Cambridge University Press (2009).  
 [2] J. Bes, A. Peris, *Hereditarily hypercyclic operators*, J. Func. Anal. 167 (1999), 94-112.  
 [3] G. D. Birkhoff, *Collected Mathematical Papers*, Vols. 1, 2, 3, New York, 1950.  
 [4] G. Birkhoff, *Dynamical Systems*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1927.

[5] N. S. Feldman, *Hypercyclic pairs of coanalytic Toeplitz operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 58 (2007) 153-173.  
 [6] N. S. Feldman, *Hypercyclic tuples of operators and somewhere dense orbits*, J. Math. Appl. 346 (2008) 82-98.  
 [7] G. Godefroy, J. H. Shapiro, *Operators with dense invariant cyclic manifolds*, J. Func. Anal. 98 (1991) 229-269.  
 [8] W. H. Gottschalk, G. A. Hedlund, *Topological Dynamics*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 36, Providence, RI, 1995.  
 [9] S. Grivaux, *Hypercyclic operators, mixing operators and the bounded steps problem*, J. Operator Theory 54 (2005) 147-168.  
 [10] S. Silverman, *On maps with dense orbits and the definition of chaos*, Rocky Mountain Jour. Math. 22 (1992) 353-375.  
 [11] B. Yousefi, H. Rezaei, *Hypercyclicity on the algebra of Hilbert-Schmidt operators*, Results in Mathematics 46 (2004) 174-180.  
 [12] B. Yousefi, H. Rezaei, *Some necessary and sufficient conditions for Hypercyclicity Criterion*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) 115 (2005) 209-216.  
 [13] B. Yousefi, A. Farrokhinia, *On the hereditarily hypercyclic vectors*, Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society 43 (2006) 1219-1229.  
 [14] B. Yousefi, H. Rezaei, J. Doroodgar, *Supercyclicity in the operator algebra using Hilbert-Schmidt operators*, Rendiconti Del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Tomo LVI (2007) 33-42.  
 [15] B. Yousefi, H. Rezaei, *Hypercyclic property of weighted composition operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007) 3263-3271.  
 [16] B. Yousefi, S. Haghkhah, *Hypercyclicity of special operators on Hilbert function spaces*, Czech. Math. Journal 57 (2007) 1035-1041.  
 [17] B. Yousefi, H. Rezaei, *On the supercyclicity and hypercyclicity of the operator algebra*, Acta Mathematica Sinica 24 (2008) 1221-1232.

- [18] B. Yousefi, J. Izadi, *Weighted composition operators and supercyclicity criterion*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences 2011, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2011/514370/>.
- [19] B. Yousefi, *Hereditarily transitive tuples*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 2011, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/S12215-011-0066-y/>.



Khadijeh Jahedi studied B.Sc in "Math. Teaching" in Shiraz University, M.Sc in "Pure Math." in Isfahan University and Ph.D in "Pure Math." in Islamic Azad University-Sciences and Researches Branch (Tehran). Her

thesis involved in Analysis "operator theory". Apart from that, she is teaching in Shiraz Islamic Azad University as an assistant professor and "member of Iranian mathematical society". She is also the Editor-in-Chief and member of Editorial Boards of the "Journal of Mathematical Extension" in Shiraz Islamic Azad University.